# ASIA-PACIFIC PROJECT CONTROLS E\*PO

# **JUDGING PROCESS**

## **01** Judges

Each category will have a carefully selected cohort of senior project professionals who will judge each entry (a total of 4 judges per category plus our head of judges – to oversee the fairness of the scoring)





### 02 Criteria

Each category has a specific criteria - judges will mark each entry based on the adherence of the criteria for their particular category

### 03 Rules

All potential applicants must ensure their submission is compliant according to our rules of entry (e.g. declaration of suitable involvement in the project by the applicant, the project meets the criteria of the category it has been entered for, the project is within the time frame required to be eligible for this year's Awards etc)





### **04 Conflict of interest**

To safeguard the integrity of the results, all judges are requested to declare any conflict of interest should this arise with any of the entries they are judging

### 05 Scores

Upon completion of the judging process – all of the scores from each judge will be consolidated/normalised and reviewed by an Awards' Steering Group (whilst taking into account any conflict of interest previously declared by any of the judges involved thus ensuring that the scores have not been affected by this)





### **06 Winners**

Upon agreement on the results for the winners (which will remain confidential throughout the process) – the winners will then be announced on Awards' evening