Project Controls E × P O

Project Controls Expo – 13th Oct 2015 Emirates Stadium, London

Introduction to Project Cost and Schedule Risk Analysis

Keith Gray, Risk Performance Ltd



About the Speaker: Keith Gray

- Consultant and trainer on risk processes (Management _of_Risk) and tools (Predict! Risk Controller, Predict! Risk Analyser, Primavera Risk Analysis, Primavera P6 Risk Register)
- Implementer of ISO 31000 process
- Many sectors covered, including defence, energy, oil and gas, telecomms, ICT, construction
- Established quantitative risk analysis capability in an energy utility
- Early experience in defence during Defence Procurement game changing period
- Committee Member of the APM Risk Specific Interest Group
- keith@riskperformance.biz
- +447879423242



Agenda

- A few questions
- Processes
- Definitions
- Uncertainty
- Risk discussion
- ISO 31000 process steps
- Recording risks
- Configuring a risk matrix
- Monte Carlo sampling
- Integration
- Typical outputs
- Further discussion points



Project Cost and Schedule Risk Analysis

A few familiar questions
What?
Why?
When?
How?
Where?
Who?



Project Cost and Schedule Risk Analysis– What, Why and When

- What realistic, timely, accurate information on project duration and costs taking account of uncertainties and risks
- Why projects are probabilistic in nature and risk analysis information can help set realistic cost and timescales
- When as required through the project lifecycle, for setting budgets and timescales and contingency before execution phase and to aid project controls during execution



Project Cost and Schedule Risk Analysis– How, Where and Who

- How Monte Carlo sampling on estimates of project cost and task duration with uncertainty; risks with estimates of probability and impact linked to costs and tasks; qualitative level of risks from a configured risk matrix, aggregated cost and duration from Monte Carlo simulation
- Where cost and planning tools; risk database; Monte Carlo simulation tool; import / export interfaces
- Who estimators, schedulers, risk analysts, project team, project managers, decision-makers



Risk Management Processes

Processes

ISO 31000 Risk management – Principles and Guidelines

- plus ISO Guide 73:2009, Risk Management Vocabulary and ISO/IEC 31010, Risk Management – Risk Assessment Techniques
- Management_of_Risk: Guidance for Practitioners
- PMBoK, Section 11, Project Risk Management
- APM BoK, Section 2 .5 Project Risk Management
 - plus Project Risk Analysis & Management, (2004) 2nd edition



Definitions - Risk

- ISO 31000: "Effect of uncertainty on objectives"
- M_o_R: "An uncertain event or set of events that, should it occur, will have an effect on the achievement of objectives. A risk is measured by a combination of the probability of a perceived threat or opportunity occurring and the magnitude of its impact on objectives."
- PMBoK: "An uncertain event or condition that , if it occurs, has a positive effect on a project's objectives."
- APM: (Risk event) "An uncertain event or set of circumstances that should it or they occur would have an effect on the achievement of one or more of the project objectives."
- APM: (Project risk) "The exposure of stakeholders to the consequences of variation in outcome."



Discussion of terms in the definitions

- Uncertainty
- Objectives
- Uncertain event
- Probability (also known as likelihood or chance)
- Perceived threat or opportunity
- Magnitude of impact
- Exposure
- Variation in outcome
- Stakeholders



Illustration of uncertainty - journey to work

- Plan to go from same place to work every day
- How long does it take? Best time? Worst time? Most likely?
- Pattern over time might look like this -





Uncertainty discussion points

- Why the variation? Ask the audience!
- Pattern is also known as: "frequency distribution shape" or "probability distribution function"
- Uncertainty in a project schedule relates to the variation in an estimate of a task's duration and / or cost so need skilled estimators for quality
- What drives the variation in a project? What assumptions are made?
- How do we make use of this variation? Ask the audience!
- Use three-point estimating for each task to define best, worst and most likely durations with a defined distribution shape
- Monte Carlo sampling to provide

Project Controls

- Likelihood of achieving project finish date / duration &cost
- Drivers of project duration and project cost

Example of Monte Carlo sampling



Illustration of Monte Carlo sampling - duration



Project Controls

Risk discussion points 1

- Uncertain event may or may not occur
- Likelihood of occurrence is also known as probability, measured as a decimal 0 to 1 or percentage 0% to 100%
- □ If 0 or 0%, then there is no risk
- If 1 or 100% there is no risk as has occurred & should be treated as an issue
- Threat if risk occurs,

Project Controls

- schedule <u>could</u> be extended, and / or
- cost will be increased
- Opportunity if it occurs,
 - schedule <u>could</u> be reduced, and / or
 - cost will be reduced (if cost of managing less than benefit)

Risk discussion points 2

- Magnitude of impact: days added to (or subtracted from) task duration and / or costs added to (or reduced from) task cost
- There can be
 - one risk impacting several tasks
 - one task impacted by several risks
 - several risks impacting several tasks
- To measure the full impact of a risk it must be linked to an appropriate Work Breakdown Structure element(s) (Project, Task package, Task)
- Impact may be uncertain (best, worst, most likely so need three-point estimate) or certain (single value, such as a fee)



Risk discussion points 3

- Exposure is the full range of the variation of project outcomes over the cumulative probability range from 0% to 100%, shown as 'S' curve in earlier slide
- Use can be made of 'S' curves for setting budgets and timescales, including contingency
- Stakeholders "Any individual, group or organisation that can affect, be affected by, or perceive itself to be affected by an initiative (project or task)." Source Management_of_Risk
 - Task managers, project managers, decision-makers, investment committee, residents, landowners, schools, emergency services, etc etc



ISO 31000: Risk management – Principles and Guidelines, Process Steps





ISO 31000 Risk process steps 1

- Establish the context everything you need to know about the project, including objectives and stakeholders. Need to keep this under review
 - Project charter should define how risk are to be managed qualitatively, quantitatively or both
- Identify the risks what may happen. Use construct <Cause>, <Event>, <Impact or consequence> for clarity
- Analyse the risks in terms of likelihood and impact on objectives, taking account of current controls and their effectiveness
 - Impacts on objectives can be readily quantifiable (cost and duration) or non-quantifiable (quality, reputation, legal and compliance, health and safety, environment)
 - Ranges of likelihood and impacts and impact types should be defined in project charter or organisation risk policy / standard



ISO 31000 Risk process steps 2

- For each risk, the highest of the impact types are combined with likelihood to determine a risk level or score.
- Evaluate the risks sorted from highest likelihood and impact to lowest likelihood and impact. Levels or scores can be used
- Treat the risks focuses attention on the prioritised risks and can use one or more of these options:
 - Avoid (Enhance) remove the risk by changing the plan or circumstances
 - Treat proactive action to reduce (increase) likelihood and / or reduce (increase) impact
 - Share with another party including contracts and insurance
 - Accept an informed decision to do nothing but keep under review



ISO 31000 Risk process steps 3

- The decision to proceed with treatment options should take into account cost effectiveness, timing, resourcing
- Effectiveness can be measured as the difference between the pretreatment and planned post-treatment levels or exposure for the cost of the treatment actions and the cost of treating any secondary risks introduced by the actions.
- Communicate and consult throughout the risk lifecycle
- Monitor and review throughout the risk lifecycle
- Contingency can be recommended based on the difference between the pre-treatment and planned post-treatment values at an agreed confidence level



Risk identification exercise

- Exercise. Look at the journey to work example. Look at one of the tasks and identify at least 2 risks.
- □ Use the construct <cause>, <event>, <impact> to describe the risk
- How likely is the risk to occur? How much will it impact on my journey?
- What can you do about the risk?
- What assumptions have you made?



Practical aspects: Recording risks database or spreadsheet?

- What do we need a risk database to be capable of?
- Does a spreadsheet achieve these features?
- What do you use?



Practical aspects: Risk databases

What do we need a risk database to be capable of?

- Accessible
- User profiles
- Controlled configuration to a process / standard / project / organisation
- Ease of use and secure
- Handle pre-treatment and planned post-treatment assessments
- Audit trail
- Reporting
- Roll back / backed up
- Integrate with other tools and link risks to tasks / cost elements
- Comply with IT policy



Example configuration requirement for risk matrix in database

- Level of risk using a risk matrix by combining highest impact (or consequence) with probability(or likelihood) (also known as heat map)
- Project impact types from organisation standard (e.g. commonly 4, 5 or 6; cost, schedule delay, reputation, environment, people, legal)

Impact ranges - how many and how labeled

- Examples: 1, 2, 3, 4. 5, 6; Very Low, Low, Medium, High, Very High;
- Probability ranges from organisation standard

Project Controls

- Probability how many and how labeled (commonly 4, 5 or 6)
- Examples: e.g. A, B, C, D; V Low, Low, Medium, High, V High;
- Risk bands (or tolerance threshold) from organisation standard
 - Risk bands how many, how labeled and field colours
 - Examples: Low, Medium, High; Levels I, II, III, IV

Example configuration settings

🩋 Primavera P6 - Enterprise Data - V	Vindows Internet Explorer				_ 🗆 X
😋 😔 🗢 🥖 http://mp2vmsa34	7.elec.eskom.co.za:8203/p6/action/dictio	onaries 🔎 🗸 😽	🦉 Primavera P6 - Enterprise 🗙		♠ ★ @
File Edit View Favorites Too	ols Help				
ORACLE [®] Primave	ra P6			Welcome Keith Administer 🕶	Print Help - Logout
Dashboards Portfolios	Projects - Resources Re	norts			
Dashboards	Trojecta + Resources Re	ports			
Enterprise Data					
	Risk Thresholds				_
Rate Types			& DI		
Resource Calendars					
Resource Codes	Name	Туре	- Levels		
Resource Curves	Risk Threshold	Probability	5		
Resource UDFs	Risk Threshold-1	Probability	5		
Units of Measure	PED Cost	Cost Impact by value	6		
E Risks	PED Cost by percentage	Cost Impact by percentage	6		
	PED Probability	Probability	5		
Risk Categories	PED Schedule	Schedule Impact by value	6		
Risk Scoring Matrices	PED Tolerance	Tolerance	4		
Risk Thresholds	People Effects (Health and Safety)	User-defined Impact	6		
Risk UDFs	🔛 Project - Majuba Cost	Cost Impact by value	6		
	📲 Project - Majuba Likelihood	Probability	5		
	🔛 Project - Majuba Rail Schedule	Schedule Impact by value	6		
Issue Codes	Quality	User-defined Impact	6		
Issue UDFs	Levels				
Documents	Levels				<u> </u>
Document Categories	2				
Document Statuses	Code	Name	Range	Color	
Document UDEs	4	Critical: Prog Crit Path	>		
			1		_ _

Example configured risk matrix

		6	ш	н	I.	I	I.
	Sé	5	Ш	П	Ш	I	I
	nence	4	IV	Ш	н	I	1
	onseq	3	IV	Ш	Ш	Ш	I
	Ó	2	IV	IV	Ш	Ш	н
		1	IV	IV	Ш	Ш	ш
•			A	В	С	D	E
					Likelihoo	d	



Identify the risks

Ć	Primavera P6 - R	Risks of PRA Team of All Time - Windo	ows Interne	t Explorer							_ [ı ×
C	💽 🗢 🙋 ht	ttp://mp2vmsa347.elec.eskom.co.za:8	203/p6/act	ion/pm/proj	ectrisks?projectId=7263	3&wbsId=730693&Project	Name=nu	1	•	😽 🗙 🕨 Bing		
~	Envoritor	Suggested Sites -										
20	ravontes	C Suggested Sites •										
8	9 Primavera P6 - I	Risks of PRA Team of All Time								👔 🔻 🔝 👻 🖃 🖷 🔻 Page 🕯	 Safety Tools 	▼ (2) ▼
0	DRACL	🗲 Primavera P6								Welcome Keith Administer -	Print Help - Lo	ogout
I	Daebboarde	Portfolios Projects T	Resources	Pan	ute							
H	Dashboards	Projects ·	Resources	Керс	11.5							
	Activities Wo	orkspace Issues <mark>Risks</mark> E	PS Doc	uments								
ſ	Disks of DDA 7	Team of All Time										
H	RISKS OF PRA	Team of All Time										
	🗸 🔘 🗘 🗙	: & ங ≞ ∓ & 4, Ш-		• • •	X 🖻 🖯 🖉 🛅							
	ID	Name	Туре	Status	Category	Description			Cause	Effect	Current Controls	
	60 New Risk	New Risk	Opport	Proposed	Financial		L					
	Ednah -2 Risk	Price increase	Opport	Proposed	Financial Protoct FootWise	may result in an increase i	1 prices	Change in forex		1) leading to increased profits2) impro		
	New Risk-2	Transit problems to power stations	Opport	Proposed	Project Facilities							
	New Risk-3	Start shortages	Thurst	Proposed	Casmiow Reference							
	B Edgeb 1	Stekeholder Pick	Threat	Proposed	Social Committments							
	Chuepe1	Inaccurate contraction strategy	Threat	Proposed	Derformance							
	Goldy 1	Bling Bling	Threat	Proposed	1 criomianee							
	New Risk-5	New Risk	Threat	Proposed								
	Goldy	lack of commitment	Threat	Proposed								
	C	-										
	Response Plans	S										
	ት 🗞 🗶 💷]•								Risk 😥 New Risk		
	Active	ID D	81	Name		Response Type	(Owner	Status	Start	Finish	
	4										Þ	
	Response Plan	Activities Description Cause	Effect No	tes Probal	bility and Impact Diagra	m						
										uted sites Destanted Made: Off	- 1 100	
00									j j j√ Iru	isted sites Protected Mode: Off		• //.
		Droject (\mathbf{c}	tre								
		riujett			/13	Commister C 20			. J			
		E	Ξ>	< F		Copyright @ 20	11. All r	ignts reserve	ea			

Analyse the risks

Primavera P6 - Risks of Mai	iuba Rail Project R	ev 0G Undated 20SE	013 (Links / Main	line 2 E/Wks A - W	indows Internet Ev	plorer							
→ ✓ Attn://mp2v	msa347.elec.esko	m.co.za:8203/p6/act	ion/pm/projectris	ks?projectId=72557			DG Disks of Mar 1					~	
le Edit View Eavorites	Tools Help						PO - KISKS OT IVIA 4						
le Luit view l'avoittes												_	
DRACLE Prin	navera P6								Welcome Keith	Administer -	Print	Help 🔻	Logout
Dashboards Portfoli	ins Projec	te - Resources	Reports										
Businbourds	ito itojec		rteporto	_									
Activities Workspace	Issues Ris	ks EPS Docu	iments										
Risks of Majuba Rail P	roject Rev 0G l	Jpdated 20SEP13	(Links / Main I	ine 2 E/Wks Ad	ded)								
🗏 🔘 수 X 💧 🗈	高型品档	⊾│ <u>∭</u> ┯ ╔┱ _╺ Ţ _╹	• • • 🗶	🛃 😂 🍕 🛄									1
Risk Control Effectiveness	Schedule	Defined Schedule	Cost	Defined Cost Impact	Quality	People Effects (I	H Environment	Legal and Complia.	Brand and Reputa.	Probability	Score	Score (Te:	xt;
Partially Effective	2 (10 to 50)	45	3 (R 175,000,00		2 (Moderate – Re	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	B (5% to 20%)	2	B-3	
Partially Effective	3 (50 to 75)	75	5 (R 525,000,00	445000000	4 (Substantial –	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	C (20% to 70%)	3	C-5	
Partially Effective	3 (50 to 75)	70	3 (R 175,000,00		3 (Significant – S	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	B (5% to 20%)	2	B-3	
Partially Effective	2 (10 to 50)	18	1 (Up to R 70,0		3 (Significant – S	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	C (20% to 70%)	3	C-3	
Partially Effective	5 (125 to 175)	105	4 (R 350,000,00		3 (Significant – S	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	D (70% to 90%)	4	D - 5	
Partially Effective	4 (75 to 125)		2 (R 70,000,000		2 (Moderate – Re	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	C (20% to 70%)	3	C - 4	
Partially Effective	3 (50 to 75)		2 (R 70,000,000		3 (Significant – S	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	C (20% to 70%)	3	C - 3	
Partially Effective	5 (125 to 175)	95	3 (R 175,000,00		4 (Substantial –	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	E (90% or higher)	4	E - 5	
Partially Effective	4 (75 to 125)		2 (R 70,000,000		3 (Significant – S	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	D (70% to 90%)	4	D - 4	
Partially Effective	2 (10 to 50)		3 (R 175,000,00		4 (Substantial –	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	C (20% to 70%)	3	C - 4	
Ineffective	6 (175 or higher)	215	4 (R 350,000,00		5 (Severe – Majo	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	E (90% or higher)	4	E - 6	
Response Plans												B	×
ት 🗞 🗶 🔟-									Ris	k 🔞 New Risk-1			
Active ID		Name	Response Type	Owner	:	Status	Planned Start	Planned Finish F	Planned Cost Re	emaining Cost	Actual Co	ost	
	n h · ·							· · · · · ·	D 400 000 00	D 100 000 00			
Despense Plans Astron	Description	Course Effect No	tee Drehehility e	nd Import Disgray									-
Response Frans / Activitie	s Description	Cause Ellect No	tes (Probability a	ind impact Diagram	<u> </u>								

Copyright @ 2011. All rights reserved

Evaluate the risks

/	ID	Name	Туре	Status	Category	Description
) So	core: 4					
Ģ	- Probability: D) (70% to 99%) - PED Probability				
	🗆 👘 N	RN Delays in getting authorisations	Threat	Proposed		
Ð	- Probability: E	(99% or higher) - PED Probability				
	— 🗊 N	Transit problems to power stations	Opport	Proposed	Project Facilities	
	— 💼 N	New Risk	Threat	Proposed		
	— 💼 G	lack of commitment	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🔞 Ed	Scope	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🚳 N	New Risk	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🚳 N	New Risk-2	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🚳 N	New Risk	Threat	Proposed		
	– 🔞 K	New Risk-1	Threat	Proposed		
	— 😥 V	New Risk	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🚳 N	New RiskBling bling bling	Threat	Proposed		
	— 💷 M	Merri-Gold Barnett	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🔞 G	Goldy	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🐽 V	New Risk	Threat	Proposed		
	— 🚳 м	Mahlodi	Threat	Proposed		
) So	core: 3					
9	Probability: D	(70% to 99%) - PED Probability				
	– 🗊 N	New Risk	Opport	Proposed	Financial	
	– 🔞 N	New Risk-4	Threat	Proposed	Reliability, Safety, Se	
Ð	Probability: E	(99% or higher) - PED Probability				
	🗕 🗊 Ed	Price increase	Opport	Proposed	Financial	may result in an increase in
)- So	core: 2					

Treat the risks 1

<i>ể</i> Primavera P6 -	Risks of Majuba Rail Project	Rev 0G Updated 20SEP13	(Links / Main Line 2 E/Wks A - V	Windows Internet Explorer						_ 🗆 🗙
	http://mp2vmsa347.elec.esk	om.co.za:8203/p6/action/	/pm/projectrisks?projectId=7255	57. 🔎 🗲 🗙 🙋 Pi	rimavera P6 - Risks of N	Ma ×				☆ 🕸
File Edit View	Favorites Tools Help)								
	C: Duimente D(_			
ORACL	e Primavera Po						vv	eicome Keith Ac	iminister - Print	Help - Logout
Dashboards	Portfolios Proje	cts - Resources	Reports				_	_		
Activities W	Vorkspace Issues R	isks EPS Docume	ents							
Risks of Maju	uba Rail Project Rev 0G	Updated 20SEP13 (Li	inks / Main Line 2 E/Wks Ad	dded)						
문 🖉 수 🎗	🖌 🎝 🖻 🖺 🕂 🛔	₩, + = +	L L X B - & D	I						<u> </u>
Risk Control Ef	ffectiveness Schedule	Defined Schedule	Cost Defined Cost Impac	ct Quality People	e Effects (H Enviro	nment Legalan	d Complia Brand	and Reputa	Probability Sco	re Score (Text)
Partially Effectiv	ve 2 (10 to 50)	45 3 (i	R 175,000,00	2 (Moderate – Re N (Neg	iligible) N (Negligik	ole) N (Neglig	jible) N (Neg	ligible) B (59	6 to 20%) 2	B-3 🗧
Response Plan	ns									
Response Plan	ns ∭▼		-			_		Risk 🔞	New Risk-1	
Response Plan	ns ∭▼ ID	Name	Response Type	Owner	Status	Planned Start	Planned Finish	Risk 🔞	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost	Actual Cost
Respunse Plan	15 II ID Ise Plan training	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Status	Planned Start 31-Mar-11	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15	Risk 📦 Planned Cost R 120,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan	15 ID ID training nse Action Response Action Action Action	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Status Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😵 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2
Response Plan	1S ID ID training ID traini	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Status Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 📦 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Response Plan ↔ ↔ ★ ↓ Ac ive - Respon - Respon - Respon	15 ID training training training training training training training training training training	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Status Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000 00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan ↔ ↔ ※ Ac ive - Respon - Respon - Respon	IS ID ID ID ID ISE Plan training ISE Action Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😵 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan ↔ ↔ ★ ↓ Ac ive - Respon - Respon	15 ID ID ISE Plan training ISE Action Response Action ISE Acti Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😨 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan ↔ ↔ ★ ↓ Ac ive - Respon Respon	15 ID ISE Plan training ISE Action Response Action ISE Acti Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😰 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan	15 ID ISE Plan training ISE Action Response Action Inse Acti Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😰 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan	15 ID Inse Plan training Inse Action Response Action Inse Acti Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 😰 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan	15 ID ID ISE Plan training ISE Action Response Action ISE Acti Response Action-1	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 0.00 2 R 10,000.00 2
Respunse Plan	15 ID training nse Action Response Action nse Acti Response Action-1 Activities Description	Name	Response Type Reduce	Owner	Proposed Proposed	Planned Start 31-Mar-11 31-Mar-11 19-Mar-13	Planned Finish 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15 12-Aug-15	Risk 📦 Planned Cost R 120,000.0 R 100,000.0 R 20,000.0	New Risk-1 Remaining Cost 0 R 160,000.00 0 R 100,000.00 0 R 60,000.00	Actual Cost R 10,000.00 2 R 10,000.00 2 R 10,000.00 2

Copyright @ 2011. All rights reserved

Treat the risks 2

🦲 Primave	ra P6 - Risks of Majuł	oa Rail Project Rev 0G	Updated 20SEP13 (Link	s / Main Line 2 E/Wks A - Windows	Internet Explorer					_ 🗆 ×
$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	http://mp2vm	sa347.elec.eskom.co.z	a:8203/p6/action/pm/j	projectrisks?projectId=72557 🔎 💌	🔄 🗙 🏉 P	rimavera P6 - Risks of Ma	×			🟠 🛣
File Edit	View Favorites	Tools Help								
OR/	CLE [.] Prima	ivera P6						Welcome Keith	Administer 👻	Print Help - Logout
Dashbo	ards Portfolios	Projects -	Resources R	eports						
								_	_	
Activitie	es Workspace	Issues Risks	EPS Documents							
Risks o	of Majuba Rail Pro	ject Rev 0G Updat	ed 20SEP13 (Links	/ Main Line 2 E/Wks Added)						
80	ት 🗙 👌 🗈 🛙	b ≕ A A II	- 6- 7- 5 -	🛛 🗶 😂 😂 🛄						-
Risk C	ontrol Effectiveness	Schedule Defin	ned Schedule Co	ost Defined Cost Impact (Quality People	e Effects (H Environmer	t Legal and Complia I	Brand and Reputa	Probability	Score Score (Text)
Partially	Effective 2	(10 to 50) 45	3 (R 175	,000,00 2 (Mode	erate – Re N (Neg	gligible) N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	N (Negligible)	3 (5% to 20%)	2 B-3 🗧
Respon	se Plans									
Respon 순 슋	se Flans K IIII -							Risk	😥 New Risk-1	
Respon	se Plans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability	New Risk-1 Score	Score (Text)
Respon	se Plans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%)	New Risk-1 Score 1 2	B Score (Text) A-2 C-2
Respon	se Plans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	B Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2 C - 2
Respon 	se / lans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	5 5 Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2
Respon	se / lans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	5 Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2
Respon	se (Plans	Cost	Guality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	5 5 Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2 A - 2 C - 2
Respon 	se Flans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2
Respon 	se / lans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	 New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1 	5 Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2
Respon ⊕ ⊕ al Cost 0,000.00 R 0.00 0,000.00	se (*1ans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	New Risk-1 Score 1 2 1	5 Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2
Respon 	se / lans	Cost	Quality	People Effects (Health and Safety) N (Negligible)	Environment	Legal and Compliance	Brand and Reputation	Risk Probability A (Up to 5%) C (20% to 70%) A (Up to 5%)	New Risk-1	Score (Text) A - 2 C - 2 A - 2

Copyright @ 2011. All rights reserved

Example populated risk matrix



Risk database vs Monte Carlo sampling

- Risk matrix used for qualitative analysis so that risk levels can be compared
- What are the benefits and limitations?
- Benefits: Intuitive; easy to understand and prioritise risks
- Limitations: Difficult to aggregate; can be misleading if risk linked to task in schedule, cannot distinguish between risks impacting in a schedule
- Monte Carlo sampling pinpoints drivers of uncertainty and risks, both cost and schedule; aggregates all risks to provide total exposure
- Let's have a look at what else the Monte Carlo sampling tool should be capable of



Monte Carlo sampling

- What do we need Monte Carlo sampling to be capable of?
- Import schedule and cost plan if separate
- Edit uncertainty values, distributions shapes and correlation
- Import risks from risk database
- Link risks to tasks and cost elements if not already linked in database
- Edit cost and schedule impact values
- Edit risk probability
- Edit risk distribution shape
- Select number of iterations
- Show histograms and pre & post treated cumulative probability graphs
- Show schedule and cost drivers with and without pre & post risks
- Reports



Integration with Quantitative Risk Analysis tool





Typical outputs – Tornado graph, schedule duration drivers: duration sensitivity



Typical outputs – Tornado graph, schedule duration drivers: criticality index





Typical outputs – Tornado graph, schedule duration drivers: duration cruciality





Typical outputs – schedule duration pretreated risk drivers: duration sensitivity





Typical outputs – frequency histogram and cumulative probability: duration uncertainty



Further discussion points

Use of the analysis graph for contingency determination

- Benefits Ranges of outcomes address probabilistic nature of projects; many what if scenarios and options can be explored; can support earned value and cost to completion forecasts
- Limitations only modeling those risks identified; new risks will emerge during execution so need to repeat frequently
- Skills of everyone involved Ask the audience!
 - Estimators and schedulers: do you use three-point estimating?
 - Risk practitioners: how thorough is risk identification
- Do you or your projects use Monte Carlo sampling? Ask the audience!
- Do project managers use qualitative and / or quantitative risk analysis?





- keith@riskperformance.biz
- +447879423242

