Project Controls E × P O

Project Controls Expo – 13th Oct 2015 Emirates Stadium, London

Total Cost Management The synergy between Cost Estimating and Project Controls



About the Speaker

Aafje Jansen-Romijn, Managing Director at Cost Engineering Consultancy B.V.

Professional career:

- 1990-1998 Dow Chemical Project Controls department
- □ 1998-2000 Stork Comprimo
- 2000-2001 Jacobs Engineering
- □ 2001- present Cost Engineering Consultancy B.V.

CCP, CEP by the AACE International standards.

Comprehensive experience in cost engineering and cost estimating solutions in wide variety of industries.



References

- Bulk storage
- Construction industry
- EPC(M)
- Food and Nutrition
- Government
- Offshore
- Oil & Gas industry
- Heavy industry
- Pharmaceutical industry
- Petro-/chemical industry

Project Controls

- Power industry
- Mining & Minerals



Project experience

- One of the most important tools for an estimator is experience
- Desire to improve capital cost estimating systems, tools, methodologies and practices.
- Data collection of project cost, schedule and scope information required in a structured format
- Missing feedback loop during the execution phase in order to validate and/or improve their estimating data.



Broken feedback loop

Many reasons can be identified:

- Disconnects exist between project management, estimating, planning and cost control, due to a lack of understanding of each other's need which could disrupt a proper feedback cycle
- The cost control baseline could lack the level of detail required to evaluate for instance compensation events during execution
- It could be induced by opting for lump-sum turnkey EPC contracts for the majority of the projects, as engineering contractors are reluctant to share information regarding hours, costs and key quantities

Companies have a need for a project historical retrieval and analysis system



Synergy between Cost Estimating and Project Controls

It is time to establish synergy between Cost Estimating and Project Controls by working with pre-set visual performance indicators during project execution.

- Should start by setting up a proper baseline conforming to all requirements of the stakeholders.
- Monitor project cost and schedule performance <u>during</u> Project Cost Control
- Give improved forecasting information.
- Meaningful ratios and statistics for your projects to aid estimate reviews, providing estimating database feedback and calibration information.



Initiation through close-out phase



phase

Estimating the cost baseline





Estimating the cost baseline Estimate classification

AACE Cost Estimate Classification System

Primary Characteristics

Secondary Characteristics

Estimate Level	Level of Project definition	End Usage	Methodology	Expected Accuracy Range	Preparation Effort
5	0% to 2%	Concept Screening	Capacity factored Parametric Models, Judgment or analogy	L: -20% to -50% H: +30% to +100%	1
4	1% to 15%	Study or Feasibility	Equipment factored or Parametric Models	L: -15% to -30% H: +20% to +50%	2 to 4
3	10% to 40%	Budget, Authorization or Control	Semi-detailed unit cost with assembly level line items	L: -10% to -20% H: +10% to +30%	3 to 10
2	30% to 70%	Control or Bid / Tender	Detailed Unit Cost with Forced Detailed take-off	L: -5% to -15% H: +5% to +20%	4 to 20
1	50% to 100%	Check Estimate or Bid / Tender	Detailed Unit Cost with Detailed take-off	L: -3% to -10% H: +3% to +15%	5 to 100



Estimating the cost baseline Breakdown Structures





Estimating the cost baseline

Breakdown Structures

h: 2	4000 - Indirec 5 Total cost 778.031,23 778.031,23 95.043,90 0,00 0,00	3000 - Escalation € 6 Total cost 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	5000 - Contingency Total cost 402.921,07 0,00 0,00	Total cos 4.432.131, 3.900.535,
OOD - Roads, Image: Control Contro Contro Contro Control Control Control Contro Control Control Co	4000 - Indirec Total cost 778.031,23 778.031,23 95.043,90 0,00 0,00 0,00	■ 5000 - Escalation ● € Total cost 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	5000 - Contingency Total cost 402.921,07 0,00 0,00	Total co 4.432.131, 3.900.535,
Oppole Roads, Image: Constraint of the second	4000 - Indirec Image: Second	5000 - Escalation Total cost 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	5000 - Contingency Total cost 402.921,07 0,00 0,00 0,00	Total cos 4.432.131, 3.900.535,
Total cost 718.414,70 718.414,70 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	Total cost 778.031,23 778.031,23 95.043,90 0,00 0,00 0,00	Total cost 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	Total cost 402.921,07 0,00 0,00	Total co: 4.432.131, 3.900.535,
718.414,70 718.414,70 718.414,70 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	778.031,23 778.031,23 95.043,90 0,00 0,00 0,00	128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00	402.921,07 0,00 0,00	4.432.131, 3.900.535,
718.414,70 718.414,70 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	778.031,23 95.043,90 0,00 0,00 0,00	0,00 0,00 0,00	0,00 0,00	3.900.535
718.414,70 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	95.043,90 0,00 0,00 0,00	0,00 0,00 0.00	0,00	0.047.515
0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	0,00 0,00 0,00	0,00	0.00	3.217.548
0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	0,00 0,00	0.00	0,00	1.450.667
0,00 0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	512.160
0,00 0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00		0,00	0,00	295.573
0,00 718.414,70 24.570.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	538.307
/18.414,/0 24.570.00	0,00	0,00	0,00	104.626
24.570.00	95.043,90	0,00	0,00	1./66.880
E 42, 220, 70	0,00	0,00	0,00	24.570
543.229,70	0,00	0,00	0,00	543.229
150.015,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	150.013
0,00	95.045,90	0,00	0,00	162 491
0,00	682 987 32	0,00	0,00	682.987
0,00	682 987 32	0,00	0,00	682 987
0,00	144 789 67	0,00	0,00	144 789
0.00	116, 160,00	0.00	0.00	116,160
0.00	321,754,82	0.00	0.00	321,754
0.00	93.847.74	0.00	0.00	93.847
0.00	6,435,10	0.00	0.00	6,435
-/	0,00	128.675,24	0,00	128.675
0,00	0,00	0,00	402.921,07	402.921
0,00 0,00				4 400 101
	0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,0	0,00 0,00 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 144.789,67 0,00 116.160,00 0,00 321.754,82 0,00 6.435,10 0,00 6.435,10 0,00 0,00	0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 0,00 144.789,67 0,00 0,00 116.160,00 0,00 0,00 321.754,82 0,00 0,00 93.847,74 0,00 0,00 6.435,10 0,00 0,00 0,00 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00	0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 0,00 0,00 682.987,32 0,00 0,00 0,00 144.789,67 0,00 0,00 0,00 116.160,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 321.754,82 0,00 0,00 0,00 93.847,74 0,00 0,00 0,00 6.435,10 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 128.675,24 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 402.921,07

Copyright @ 2011. All rights reserved

Sub total

Grand total

Markups

4.432.131,81

0,00 4.432.131.81 100,00% 0,00%

100,00%

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Project} \ \mathsf{Controls} \\ \mathsf{E} \ \times \ \mathsf{P} \ \mathsf{O} \end{array}$

Spending valuable time on things that

matter





Forecasting – the link with estimating

The link with estimating

- Better integration
- Keep the estimate alive
- Involvement estimator during project controls

EAC					_							-						_			
	Description	Estimate link	Total cost	Cost				_	_		2015			_					_	_	
1	EAC		63.779,84	63.779,84	May	Ju	ne	J	uly	Aug	gust	Sep	tember	Octo	ber	Novemb	er	Decembe	r	Januar	y
2	EAC components		55.808,25	55.808,25											[}_ *					
3	CS Pipe materials 1/2"		13.452,06	13.452,06	4												-				
4	CS Pipe materials 2"		13.452,06	13.452,06																	
5	CS Pipe materials 4"		13.452,06	13.452,06		h															
6	CS Pipe materials 8"		13.452,06	13.452,06											201	5					
7	Piping materials extra		2.000,00	2.000,00	5 6 7	8 9	10	11	12	13 14	15	No 16	vember	19	20	21 22	23	24 25	26	27	
8	🔁 Description			Cost	8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00	8,01 8,01	0 8,00 0 8,00	8,00 8,00	8,00 8,00	8,00 8,00		8,00 8,00	8,00 8,0 8,00 8,0	10 8,00 10 8,00	8,00 8,00		8,00 8,00	8,00 8, 8,00 8,	00 8,0 00 8,0	0 8,00 0 8,0	0 0



Forecasting – the link with estimating









Information of interest:

- Cost Factors for early phase estimating
 - Hand factors
 - Lang factors
- Characteristic values and metrics concept studies
- Realized hours benchmarking estimating norms







What are important metrics?

Metrics used for quantitative estimating in order to determine the expected project quantities without having to involve a full design team to determine these quantities.

For example:

- Length of pipe per main equipment item : 150 m pipe / eq.
- Number of fittings per length of pipe : 0,6 fitting / m pipe



- The purpose of this focus on cost metrics is to extend to cost engineering practices. By using these metrics and research findings, companies can improve their project and business results.
- These characteristic values will:
 - Support conceptual estimate
 - Support estimate reviews
 - Assess company performance against industry norms.
 - Support calibration and improvement of company tools and databases.
 - Improve asset cost evaluation and concept development





"It was a good project. It will be missed"



Project Structure





Project Structure - objects



Metrics

@ 4.5" pipe

- @ 150 m pipe per equipment
- @ 0,9 1,2 Control valve per equipment
 - @ 5.5 Field instruments per equipment
- @ 3 lighting fixtures per equipment
- Cost database aligned with metrics
- Core metrics in estimating are often key quantities



Key quantities

Essential basis for metric development:

- What is included in the metric ISBL versus OSBL
- Analyzing key quantities of projects
- Develop useful characteristic values
 - No relation: Length of Electrical cable per meter pipe
- Distinguish between different types of projects
- Develop and maintain cost database inline with composites supporting the characteristic values



Key quantities

Pivot table All base components				
📰 📰 📰 🕂 🗣 📎 💳 🗠 🥸		17 - Number of valves - control valves	pc	68,40
Drop Filter Fields Here		18 - Number of field instruments	pc	256,50
C Grand total quantity	🔐 ISBL-OSBL	19 - Number of welds	pc	7.309,22
		20 - Number of x-rayed welds	pc	730,92
🐨 Key quantities	▼ ISBL	21 - Insulation - Equipment	m²	4.295,00
01 - Number of Mechanical Equipment pc	54,00	22 - Insulation - Pipe	m	6.412,50
02 - Number of field erected equipment pc	3,00	23 - Painting - Pipe	m	6.840.00
03 - Number of piles pc	339,00	24 - Fire proofing	m²	114.00
04 - Roads and paving m ²	1.210,00	26 Number of 1P - Instrumentation		40.61
05 - Concrete volume - foundation m ³	1.853,00		pc	40,01
06 - Concrete volume - elevated floors m ³	250,80	27 - Number of JB - Electrical	pc	85,50
07 - Length of underground piping m	250,00	28 - Cable length multicore - Instrumentatio	m	12.183,75
08 - Excavation volume m ³	4.318,00	29 - Cable length singles - Instrumentation	m	6.498,00
09 - Backfill volume m³	2.160,00	31 - Cable length - Electrical LV	m	21.330,00
10 - Structural Steel - structure kg	190.715,45	32 - Trace Heating Cable length	m	6.384,00
11 - Structural Steel - grating m ²	285,00	33 - Length of trays	m	2.619,40
12 - Structural Steel - stairs and ladders m	38,19	34 - Length of conduits	m	855,00
13 - Structural Steel - handrail m	478,80	35 - Length of tubing	m	3.249,00
14 - Length of pipe m	8.550,00	36 - Number of lighting fixtures	DC	171.00
15 - Number of fittings pc	4.129,65	27 Number of L/O's	20	454.00
16 - Number of valves - manual pc	598,50			



Grand t	otal		
.345 ♦ 🔮	🗓 🙀 🇞 🗞 🦣 🍣 🦛 🧛 🚑 🍇 🍣 nd total 🖉 Direct totals 🕸 Rate totals 🎎 Crew totals 📑 Breakdo	wn totals 🗑 Breakdown cube 🏅	Currency totals
Brez	akdown structure: CBS		
100%	Breakdown structure "CBS"	Total cost	%
\bigcirc	🖃 🍟 CBS - CBS Cost Engineering	4.432.131,81	865,38%
\bigcirc	🖃 🎬 SBE - Subtotal Base Estimate	3.900.535,50	761,59%
\bigcirc	SMC - Subtotal Materials and Construction	3.217.548,18	628,23%
\bigcirc	🖃 🎬 DFM - Direct Furnished Materials	1.450.667,48	283,24%
۲	🗄 🍟 1000 - Mechanical Equipment	512.160,00	100,00%
\bigcirc	🕀 📛 3000 - Piping Materials	295.573,10	57,71%
\bigcirc	🕀 🝟 4000 - Instrumentation Materials	538.307,69	105,11%
\bigcirc	🕀 🝟 5000 - Electrical Materials	104.626,68	20,43%
0	🖃 🎬 DFC - Direct Field Contracts	1.766.880,70	344,99%
\odot	🕀 🝟 7100 - Site Development	24.570,00	4,80%
\bigcirc	🕀 🍟 7300 - Civil	543.229,70	106,07%
\bigcirc	🍟 7500 - Structural Steel	150.615,00	29,41%
\bigcirc	🕀 🍟 7600 - General Mechanical	884.984,38	172,79%
\bigcirc	🕀 📛 7700 - Instrumentation & Electrical	163.481,62	31,92%
\bigcirc	🕀 🝟 IFC - Subtotal Indirect Field Costs	682.987,32	133,35%
\bigcirc	🍟 9800 - Escalation	128.675,24	25,12%
\bigcirc	📁 9900 - Contingency	402.921,07	78,67%
		4 400 404 04	005 0000





Database system for project historical retrieval and analysis system

- Always <u>actual</u> metrics by using Estimate at Completion Quantities
- Specify companies needs
- Both project metrics and project controls EVM metrics





Improving the Quality of Earned Value information:

- Credible
- Timely

- Significant
 - Analyzed (numbers don't speak analysts do)



Earned Value Management Example Reporting





Total Cost Management

Create synergy between estimating and project controls

- Align estimate requirements with project controls system
- Embed metrics reporting into your organisation
- Involve estimating during forecasting
- Do not wait till project closure you will be too late!



Cost engineerinG





Call +31 (O)78 620 0910 or mail to sales@costengineering.eu